

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

Randy I. Dorn Old Capitol Building · PO BOX 47200 · Olympia, WA 98504-7200 · http://www.k12.wa.us

November 3, 2014

Michael Green Superintendent Woodland School District 800 Third Street Woodland, WA 98674

Dear Mr. Green:

The *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA 2004) requires the U.S. Department of Education to make annual "determinations" as to the overall compliance of each State with the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. States are likewise required to make "determinations" as to the level of overall compliance of each district within the State. The purpose of this letter is to notify you of the determination made for your district based on performance in the 2013–2014 school year.

The levels of determinations are as follows:

- 1) Meets requirements
- 2) Needs assistance
- 3) Needs intervention
- 4) Needs substantial intervention

Your district received a determination of meets requirements (Level 1) for the 2013–2014 school year. Review the enclosed *District Determination Summary* for more information about the areas contributing to this determination for your district. OSPI encourages you to ensure that efforts are geared toward maintaining this level of compliance. Your local ESD, through the Coordinated Services Agreement (CSA) with OSPI, will be providing technical assistance and other support to assist the district in maintaining a Level 1 determination.

The process of making determinations incorporates the following criteria:

- Unresolved special education audit findings
- ▶ Timely correction of non-compliance
- Timely, reliable, and accurate data
- ▶ Performance on State Performance Plan (SPP) compliance indicators
- Information from ongoing monitoring activities and other public information related to district compliance with IDEA 2004.

Notification of Additional Criteria for Fall 2015 Determinations:

Beginning with determinations to be issued in the Fall of 2015, the process of making determinations will include district performance on Indicator 14 – Postsecondary Outcomes. This results indicator measures the degree to which youth are engaged in higher education, competitive employment, and/or some other postsecondary training program or employment within one year of leaving high school. The inclusion of Indicator 14 will ensure a focus on both the requirements and purpose of IDEA Part B which is to ensure students eligible for special education have available to them a free appropriate public education that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment, and independent living (WAC 392-172A-01005). For additional information and technical assistance, please contact the Center for Change in Transition Services at (206) 296-6494 or email ccts@seattleu.edu. You can also access their website at http://www.seattleu.edu/ccts/.

We appreciate all of your efforts to provide quality programs for all students, and especially your efforts on behalf of students eligible for special education services. If you have any questions, please call Valerie Arnold at (360) 725-6075 or email Valerie.Arnold@k12.wa.us.

Sincerely,

Douglas H. Gill, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent Special Education

DHG: ad

Enclosure: District Determination Summary

cc: Ms. Debbie Kernen, Special Education Director

Ms. Mary Mertz, ESD Director

File

2013-14 District Determination Summary (issued November 2014)

This template provides a summary of the LEA's/ESA's IDEA determination level for the 2013-14 school year. As described in the revised IDEA 2004 regulations (34 CFR §300.600 and 300.602), states must rate all LEAs annually on their performance with implementing the requirements of IDEA. Refer to the "Washington State Determinations Rubric" for information regarding how determination levels were calculated (using federally-mandated criteria). Refer to the "Overview of Technical Assistance and Enforcement Actions for Each Determination Level" for an overview of the actions that may be taken if districts do not meet requirements.

CCDDD: 08404	DD: 08404 District: Woodland		District Determination Level:		
	ESD: 112	1	Meets Requirements		

District Summary:

CRITERIA		DISTRICT RESULTS	(1) MEETS REQUIREMENTS	(2) NEEDS ASSISTANCE	(3) NEEDS INTERVENTION	(4) NEEDS SUBSTANTIAL INTERVENTION
Did the LEA/ESA resolve all special education audit findings (if any)? [Source - OSPI Audit Resolution]		yes	Х			
2. Were all identified issues of non-compliance corrected by the LEA/ESA, including verification and validation by the ESD and OSPI, as soon as possible but no later than one year from identification? [Source - OSPI general supervision, including program reviews, Safety Net, citizen complaints, etc.]		yes	X			
3. Did the LEA/ESA submit timely and accurate data? [Source - District-submitted data reports, see rubric] (Note: This includes information from ongoing monitoring activities & other public information related to district compliance with IDEA 2004.)	:	100.00%	X			
4.1 Did the LEA/ESA demonstrate substantial compliance	11:	100.00%				
(on SPP Indicators 11, 12, and 13)? [Source - District-submitted reports (Ind. 11 and 12 - see rubric); Safety Net &/or OSPI Monitoring and Program Review (Ind. 13)]		100.00%	· X			
("n < reqd" means the district did not meet the "n" size for that indicator)	13:	n <reqd< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></reqd<>				
4.2 Is disproportionate representation (if any) the result of <u>inappropriate identification</u> (Indicators 9 and 10)? [Source - OSPI Monitoring and Program Review]		no	Х			
4.3 Is the district's suspension/expulsion data above the Single State Bar, AND is the data the result of noncompliant policies, procedures, or practices (Indicator 4B)? [Source - OSPI Monitoring and Program Review]		no	X	1		

Does the LEA/ESA have any identified non-compliance that must be corrected no later than March 2, 2015?

Washington State Determinations Rubric

CRITERIA	(1) MEETS REQUIREMENTS	(2) NEEDS ASSISTANCE	(3) NEEDS INTERVENTION	(4) NEEDS SUBSTANTIAL INTERVENTION
1. Did the LEA/ESA resolve all special education audit findings (if any)? [Source - OSPI Audit Resolution]	yes		no	
2. Were all identified issues of non-compliance corrected by the LEA/ESA, including verification and validation by the ESD and OSPI, as soon as possible but no later than one year from identification? [Source - OSPI general supervision, including program reviews, Safety Net, citizen complaints, etc.]	yes		no (corrected, but not timely)	no (not timely & uncorrected non- compliance remains)
3. Did the LEA/ESA submit timely and accurate data? [Source - District-submitted data reports, see list below] (Note: This includes information from ongoing monitoring activities & other public information related to district compliance with IDEA 2004.)	90% or higher	75.0% to 89.9%	Below 75%	
4.1 Did the LEA/ESA demonstrate substantial compliance (on SPP Indicators 11, 12, and 13)? [Source - District-submitted reports (Ind. 11 and 12 - see list below), Safety Net &/or OSPI Monitoring and Program Review (Ind. 13)] ("n <reqd" "n"="" =="" did="" district="" for="" indicator)<="" meet="" not="" size="" td="" that="" the=""><td>90% or higher on all three indicators (&/or "n<reqd")< td=""><td>75.0% to 89.9%</td><td>Below 75% (on any of the three indicators)</td><td></td></reqd")<></td></reqd">	90% or higher on all three indicators (&/or "n <reqd")< td=""><td>75.0% to 89.9%</td><td>Below 75% (on any of the three indicators)</td><td></td></reqd")<>	75.0% to 89.9%	Below 75% (on any of the three indicators)	
4.2 Is disproportionate representation (if any) the result of inappropriate identification (Indicators 9 and 10)? [Source - OSPI Monitoring and Program Review]	no	yes		
4.3 Is the district's suspension/expulsion data above the Single State Bar, AND is the data the result of non-compliant policies, procedures, or practices (Indicator 4B)? [Source - OSPI Monitoring and Program Review]	no	yes		

Required	Data Reports	(must be both t	imely and accurate) :

- Special Education Personnel Employed & Needed (Federal 618 requirement, due 12/20/13)
- Federal Child Count/Least Restrictive Environment (Indicators 5, 6, 9, 10, & Federal 618 requirement, due 12/16/13)
- Special Education Students Suspended/Expelled (Indicator 4, Federal 618 requirement, due 8/1/14)
- Child Outcomes Summary Form (Indicator 7, due 7/15/14)
- Timeline for Initial Evaluation (Indicator 11, due 7/15/14)
- Transition from Part C to Part B (Indicator 12, due 7/15/14)
- Post-School Data Survey (Indicator 14, due 11/1/13)
- Information from ongoing monitoring activities and other public information related to district compliance with IDEA 2004.

Overview of Technical Assistance and Enforcement Actions for Each Determination Level

LEVEL Program Review		Technical Assistance (TA)	Improvement Activities	Federally-mandated Enforcement Action(s)	
(1) MEETS REQUIREMENTS (MR)	No changes to program review schedule.	District may receive technical assistance (TA) on general areas of need, if necessary.	District may receive recommendations for improvement in identified area(s) of need, if necessary.	There are no federally-mandated enforcement actions for districts that Meet Requirements.	
(2) NEEDS ASSISTANCE (NA)	No changes to program review schedule.	On-site technical assistance from OSPI if district is on the schedule for an on-site program review visit in the year an NA determination was issued. If not visited that year, district may receive technical assistance call and/or resources in area(s) where it did not meet requirements. Sources of technical assistance will include the local ESD, and may include federal resource centers, advice from experts, distinguished professionals, collaboration with colleges/ universities, etc. The district may be required by OSPI to work with a specific entity for technical assistance &/or improvement planning.	District may receive recommendations for improvement in certain areas of need. Informational resources will be made available to districts that are in NA, including electronic resource communities, publications, national informational and technical assistance centers, etc., to assist with improvement activities.	Districts identified in this level for two consecutive years ("NA2") may be required to work with a specific entity for technical assistance, and/or conditions may be imposed on the district's use of IDEA Part B funds. Note: Determinations issued in November 2009 were considered to be year one.	
(3) NEEDS INTERVENTION (NI)		District will receive recommendations for improvement in any area that was considered NA (in the case there are areas rated as NA). District will receive TA resources for improvement (see TA listed under Needs Assistance above).	District may be required to prepare and implement a plan of improvement and/or corrective action. OSPI will provide advice or assistance in defining strategies toward moving from one status to another. OSPI may partner districts to form mentor relationships for districts in need of intervention.	Districts identified as Needs Intervention for three consecutive years ("NI3") will be required to implement a corrective action plan and/or compliance agreement with specified timelines for correction, and/or further Part B fund payments may be withheld, in whole or in part. 34 CFR 300.604 (b)(2)(v) Note: Determinations issued in November 2009 were considered to be year one.	
(4) NEEDS SUBSTANTIAL INTERVENTION (NSI)	that resulted in this determination. May lead to a comprehensive review	District will receive recommendations for improvement in any area that was considered NA or NI (in the case there are areas rated as NA or NI apart from the NSI area(s)). District will receive TA resources for improvement (see TA listed under Needs Assistance above).	District will be required to develop and implement corrective action plan. OSPI may partner districts to form mentor relationships for districts in need of substantial intervention.	Districts will be required to implement a corrective action plan and/or compliance agreement. If, at any time, a district is determined to Need Substantial Intervention, further Part B payments will be withheld or recovered, in whole or in part. 34 CFR 300.604 (b)(2)(v) Note: This enforcement action will begin with the determinations issued in November 2009.	